



Towards Person Centered Housing Services in Europe

Pack on Support Needs Assessment



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Pack on Support Needs Assessment

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Aim of the <i>TopHouse Support Needs Assessment Tool</i> (THSUNA)	3
1.2. How to use THSUNA	4
1.3. Concepts & Vocabulary	5
2. Background	6
2.1. United Nations Convention on the Rights of People with Disabilities (UNCRPD)	6
2.2. Person Centred Planning	8
2.3. Definition of Support	8
2.4. Co-production and Support	9
2.5. The Role of the Supporter	11
3. Support Needs Assessment Tool (THSUNA)	12
3.1. Barriers & Obstacles in Support	12
3.2. Steps to determine Support Needs	13
3.2.1. Initial Considerations	13
3.2.2. Specific Instruments	14
3.2.3. Five Phases / Stages Assessment Methodology	15
3.3. Main Support Areas' Needs Assessment	20
4. Key success factors to put THSUNA into practice	21
4.1. Bonding between the supporter and the supported person	21
4.2 Interview as a key instrument	22
4.3 Temporality and respect versus communication style	22
5. References	23
6. Annexes	26
ANNEX I - THSUNA Scale 1 - To be fulfilled always with the user and the professional	26
ANNEX II - THSUNA Data Gathering Tool	37

IO4-Pack on Support Needs Assessment

1. Introduction

1.1. Aim of the *TopHouse Support Needs Assessment Tool* (THSUNA)

This document is part of the TOPHOUSE Erasmus+ project. This document aims to focus on instruments to assess support needs which are separate elements of an individuals' life but are found often intertwined. Once identified and contextualised enable professionals to make up a person-centred assessment of support needs. These instruments may include – but are not limited to – looking at individuals needing support in one or more areas of their life, either as separate elements or combined but with a global focus on the following items:

- ✓ Will and preferences of the individual about his/her housing expectations or current needs
- ✓ Kind of accommodation needed & preferred location
- ✓ Eligibility and ability to claim benefits
- ✓ Budget & manage a bank account
- ✓ Cook & store food
- ✓ Keep a property clean, secure & operate services (gas/water/electricity) safely
- ✓ Use local facilities & public transport
- ✓ Mobility or health needs, assistance needed with personal hygiene
- ✓ Mental health or medication issues?
- ✓ Healthy lifestyle
- ✓ Need for education, employment, daytime activities
- ✓ Language, cultural or religious issues
- ✓ Capacity to communicate well with others & understand/read documents or instructions.

The TOPHOUSE Pack on Support Assessment Needs (THSUNA) is conceptualised departing from an innovative nature, being so that it has not before been explicitly underpinned by the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (hereinafter UNCRPD) principles or a Person Centred approach, nor catered for such a wide range of people with different needs, starting from the most basic ones and extending it to more complex situations. It aims to provide an assessment framework on support needs transferable between all delivery partners as described in the process below. There are studies that show that there is a close relationship between not having a stable household and/or appropriate housing conjointly with a psychosocial disability and a general decay

of global functioning in everyday basic living skills. That decrease on global social functioning increases the level of support needed by a person on both aspects and, especially the process of assessing housing needs will also depend on a tight analysis of the level of his/her functioning on multiple levels from a social perspective and within a Human Rights approach.

The capacity to better assess support needs will have positive impact over failure rates of certain groups of people in satisfactorily maintaining a tenancy (e.g. ex-homeless people, people leaving long term institutions etc.). By improving the level and better targeting the nature of the support provided to individuals the assessment can make all the difference between success and failure in a housing placement and a chance to keep a place in society.

In order to deal with this goal, the present document include and extract content from the analysis on best practices collected in assessing support needs. These include the set of necessary skills not only to maintain a tenancy but to develop their independent live. These set of skills explicitly reflect UNCRPD principles and values or a Person-Centred approach, based on will and preferences.

This manual will improve professional underpinning knowledge and long-life learning outcomes of professionals' abilities to detect, assess and improve their strategies to provide improved support to individuals with disabilities or complex life situations.

Quantitative-based scales are presented with the aim to provide an individual assessment of a person needs. In addition, qualitative instruments are used to offer a global, flexible and a wide-range scope assessment. The combination of methodologies in the following tools results on a tailor-made assessment on different support needs. For this purpose, the methodology of support delivery and the instruments developed include a high degree of user-involvement strategies.

THSUNA's ultimate goal is to provide professionals an assessment framework, understanding the high impact that an accurate assessment has on the quality of service provision to the person also using housing services.

1.2. How to use THSUNA

THSUNA is an assessment tool aimed to professionals that already have some previous background and knowledge on the UNCRPD Principles and work in organisations or services aligned and compromised to further implement UNCRPD. The instrument (THSUNA) described below intends to become an element of empowerment to users through co-production approach. Accordingly, the professional or trainer using THSUNA must take into account the principles of the UNCRPD alongside with the user-involvement strategies described in this guide. The final aim the THSUNA tool is to aid

in the decision-making process of a person with disabilities on how they want to be supported and for the professional to provide an individualised assessment framework of the individuals support needs.

THSUNA has been conceptualised and developed with a double methodology, quantitative and qualitative. Chapter 3 describes specific procedures and instruments to be used in the THSUNA support assessment process. THSUNA package deliverable will also be operationalised (e.g. how to use it in the different stages of support needs assessment) as described in the chapter 3. Annex I and Annex II includes specific templates of the tools designed and developed in this deliverable.

1.3. Concepts & Vocabulary

Introductory Meeting: The introductory meeting aims in the creation of a relationship between the supporter and the service user that is based on trust and cooperation. During this meeting the supporter must understand the needs and wishes of the service user as well as his or her skills. At the same time the service user must understand the subject and the training activities in which he or she will be involved and his/her relevant learning responsibilities. The supporter collaborates with the learner to identify his/her needs and wishes and help him/her to identify his/her personal motivations. A profile of the service user must be developed to function as a baseline data for a later elaboration of Individualised Support Plan which must be agreed with the supported person and the professional.

Individual Support Plan: Work plan that the supporter elaborates for each supported individual setting out the objectives and actions that must be carried out in order to achieve their goals. The initial one is made within an established timeframe agreed with the individual and the professional, being reviewed later on if significant changes in the person's situation occur, or in case the person demands it with a specific reason.

Complex Case: Person with a vital situation or context that makes the intervention more complicated due to various circumstances (i.e. the person or the professional is neither unable to control them nor comprehend them fully due to the lack of information or due to its nature).

Immediate/Community environment: People, family, friends, professionals or social network with whom the supported person is usually in contact or already has some type of relation on a daily basis.

Unforeseen situations: Unforeseeable situations that affect the protected person in their daily lives and which require immediate intervention. These situations could not be predicted by the professional or the person.

2. Background

2.1. United Nations Convention on the Rights of People with Disabilities (UNCRPD)

The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) is a Human Rights Convention written by and for people with disabilities in the wider concept of the term but it is not only addressed to people with disabilities but to general society. It is intended to protect the rights and dignity of persons with a disability. While it does not provide any new rights, it does expand basic Human Rights and became an important reference document that recognises and explicitly states that individuals with disabilities have the same Rights as individuals as what is considered an individual without a disability. Since UNCRPD was ratified by member states, those can only accept that the term disability changed its conception to include environmental and social aspects as the main barriers for the inception of any disability without relying exclusively on personal and individual factors. The implementation of this Human Rights Approach in support service delivery has the potential to impact in several areas of an individual's life such as:

- Housing and the ability to choose where and how you want to live
- Choosing and deciding on your own support services
- Access to information
- Employment and education
- Health services and the right to the highest attainable standard of health
- Equal recognition before the law and enjoyment of the Right to Legal Capacity
- Living Independently and being included in the community
- Full participation in society

The UNCRPD has now been signed and ratified by all EU countries and THSUNA has been created in line with the principles of the Convention. The Convention intends to protect the rights and dignity of persons with disabilities. State Parties who have signed and ratified to the Convention are required to promote, protect, and ensure the full enjoyment of human rights by persons with disabilities. The Convention has served as the major catalyst in the global movement from viewing persons with disabilities as objects of charity, medical treatment and social protection towards viewing them as full and equal members of society, holders of human rights. It is also the only UN human rights instrument with an explicit sustainable development dimension. UNCRPD was the first human rights treaty of the twenty-first century.

Moreover, governments should provide persons with disabilities with any support they might need in their decision-making. Support can be both “formal and informal” and can constitute “arrangements of varying type and intensity”. The type and intensity of support should take into account the diversity of people with disabilities. Also, a range of appropriate measures should be available for persons with disabilities to receive adequate support, according to their will and needs. Support could include providing information in plain language or easy-to-read, explaining different options, or, in some exceptional cases, articulating an opinion based on a deep knowledge of the will and wishes of individuals, which stems from a long-lasting trusting relationship. Regardless the domain of their personal life, their opinions and decisions should be taken into account and respected. Implementing UNCRPD requires a shift towards the respect of human rights by replacing substituted decision-making approach with the supported decision making model.

In practical terms, exercising legal capacity means making decisions for oneself in all areas of life including medical treatment, housing, employment, relationships, finances, children, family planning, or property, among others. The CRPD recognizes that there are times when persons with disabilities may require support in making decisions and that depending on the course of the disability or illness, varying levels of support may be needed. In order to guarantee equal and full citizens recognition before the law, support provision is central and may vary a lot depending on each person. States must develop supported decision-making arrangements of varying types and intensity, including informal and formal support arrangements. Such arrangements include, for example, support networks, support Agreements, peer and self-support groups, support for self-advocacy, independent advocacy and advance directives.

When the user participates in design, implementation and evaluation of the a service to be received by him/ herself, a double effect appears: first, the service itself is more effective providing the goals to achieve had been addressed to solve actual problem/s expressed by the service users; second, the

supported persons are empowered as they are taken into account by the administration and other stakeholders or because the person is placed in an equal power relationship context.

LO: Treat service users with dignity and respect

Supporters must learn the UNCRPD principles as a basic element of professional practice. Any activity described in this tool and implemented in practice must respect UNCRPD principles.

2.2. Person Centred Planning

Person centred planning (PCP) provides a way of helping an individual with psychosocial disabilities to exercise choice and control over the way they want to execute their life project by planning in advance some or all aspects of their life. Thus, support should be delivered taking into account the person expectations and her plan, ensuring that the individual remains central to the creation of any plan which will affect them and consequently receiving the support to execute this plan. Person centred planning is not only an assessment, it's also a methodological approach to develop future support. PCP is applied in THSUNA through the different phases the application of this tool involves.

LO: Work in a Person-Centred way.

Learn what the support approach is according to UNCRP principles and person-centred-principles is a transversal element of TopHouse and THSUNA.

2.3. Definition of Support

Support can take many forms but according to the UN Special Rapporteur on Rights of People with Disabilities, an agreed and universal definition of support is:

“Support is the act of providing help or assistance to someone who requires it to carry out daily activities and participate in society. Support is a practice, deeply embedded in all cultures and communities that is at the basis of all our social networks. Everyone needs support from others at some stage, if not throughout their life, to participate in society and live with dignity. Being a recipient of support and offering support to others are roles we all share as part of our human experience, regardless of impairment, age or social status. Support for persons with disabilities encompasses a wide range of formal and informal interventions, including live assistance and intermediaries, mobility aids and assistive devices and technologies. It also includes personal assistance; support in decision-making; communication support, living arrangements services for

securing housing and household help; and community services. Persons with disabilities may also need support in accessing and using general services, such as health, education and justice.

For most persons with disabilities, access to quality support is a necessary precondition for living and fully participating in the community on the basis of choices equal to others. Without adequate support, persons with disabilities are at risk of falling into neglect and institutionalization. The provision of appropriate support is necessary to the realization of the full spectrum of human rights and enables persons with disabilities to achieve their full potential, thus contributing to the overall well-being and diversity of the communities in which they live. For many persons with disabilities, support represents an essential precondition for their active and meaningful participation in society, while preserving their dignity, autonomy and independence.”¹

LO: Practice co-production with service users who are ‘experts by experience’

LO: Support choice and control by users of services

Learn the definition of Support and how professionals have to integrate it and apply it in daily practice as a key factor to guarantee inclusion and equal participation.

2.4. Co-production and Support

Co-Production in the field of psychosocial disabilities is an approach where the aim for a sustained recovery takes into account involving a wide range of support and service providers, including clinical supports and services, community supports, direct housing support and employment and social integration. Co-Production is the element facilitating that key stakeholders work together with the persons receiving the support to deliver these supports. From a technical perspective, co-production is defined as:

“A process by which are enabled to become actively and genuinely involved in defining the issue that concern them, in making decisions about factors that affect their lives, in formulating and implementing policies, in planning, developing and delivering services and in taking action to achieve change” (WHO; 2002)

There is no single formula for co-production but there are some key features that are present in co-production initiatives: define people who use services as assets with skills; break down the barriers between people who use services and professionals; build on people’s existing capabilities; include reciprocity (where people get something back for having done something for others) and mutuality

¹ (A/HRC/34/58) - Report of the Special Rapporteur on the rights of persons with disabilities

(people working together to achieve their shared interests); work with peer and personal support networks alongside professional networks.

A definition that fits THSUNA tool is:

Co-production is not just a word, it's not just a concept, it is a meeting of minds coming together to find a shared solution. In practice, it involves people who use services being consulted, included and working together from the start to the end of any project that affects them. [2]

As key elements of co-production, research on user-involvement strategies showcases that the following elements ought to be included in co-production strategies when conceptualising, designing and developing support services to succeed:

- Creation of exploratory space → Stakeholders and users work together to create new knowledge
- Collaboration → All stakeholders and users share their experiences from their perspective to reach desirable outcomes.
- Power Sharing → Quotas of power between stakeholders, users and professionals ought to be balanced and recognize the importance of different and diverse expertise resulting in shared ownership.
- Equality → Relationships between stakeholders, users and professionals have to be based on mutual respect.
- Willingness to implement → Positive involvement at all levels of service to implement co-production strategies.

These elements to succeed on co-production impact positively on the final user and stakeholder self-conception and lack thereof impacts negatively on the following aspects (Beresford; 2013)

- Distortion of concept of recovery and support
- Continuation of the separation between them (professionals) and us (supported individuals) / (otherness)
- Sustaining social exclusion patterns
- Perpetuating discrimination
- Reproducing disempowering models
- Retaining the role of professionals as the only “experts”
- Dragging an atmosphere of silence on real issues and invisibles the user experience.

Co-production is a framework of intervention that involves all stakeholders, users and professionals involved when assessing support needs and the necessary support steps to cover those needs. As a methodological approach, it will be included also in the support needs assessment. It consists of a particularly striking issue since it combines a balance between scientific reliability of the tool and users' inputs. The combination of these complementary evaluation or assessment of needs leads to involve users in the identification of which support best fits with their needs as well as to ensure the reliability of the *THSUNA* tool.

2.5. The Role of the Supporter

The supporter can be a member of the social network of the person, as a friend or a member of the family or a professional of support services. *THSUNA* targets the case of professional supporters, being able to coordinate resources and services around the person. To facilitate this, the **supporter** will study the decisions the user make and will provide all necessary information to give the user a clear view on all possibilities and their pros and cons. At this point it is up to the person to make her or his own decisions and the supporter to enable the necessary resources to implement their decision.

Skills and values required

- The supporter respects and values the supported person's autonomy and dignity and knows and respects the supported person's goals, values and preferences.
- The supporter respects the particular decision-making style of the supported person and recognizes when and how support may be offered.
- The supporter needs to be able to form a trusting relationship with the supported person and to spend as much time as is required to support a person make each decision.
- Other soft-skills required are empathy, assertiveness and the ability to speak and communicate in a clear and understandable way.

Main tasks to be undertaken by the supporter

- To assess the skills of the person in relation to the specific areas included in *THSUNA* scales.
- To build and help the person implement an Individualized Support Plan.
- To search materials and resources to help the person to understand the information needed to take their own decisions.
- To assist the supported person to obtain advice and information from different sources.

- To join the supported person at meetings with outside organizations set up to obtain information and explore options.
- To help the person to analyse the different options.
- To verify the person has understood the pros and cons of the options involved in a decision or in an Individual Support Plan.
- To help the person to communicate the decisions to his/her family and to the support network for the good implementation of the person’s choice. When necessary the supporter will advocate for the person.
- To facilitate the progress through interviews and specific measurement tools.
- To keep an accurate log/record of all the activity made with the person, if possible.

LO: Practice co-production with service users who are ‘experts by experience’

LO: Support choice and control by users of services

Learn what is understood as co-production in the frame of this manual in order to establish the suitable links and procedures to achieve real participation of users and stakeholders during the assessment process.

3. Support Needs Assessment Tool (THSUNA)

3.1. Barriers & Obstacles in Support

Support, conceptualized as a global service can take many forms, both in terms of length and intensity and is often variable depending on the target population whom benefit from it depending on their social context, personal situations and characteristic personality traits or derived from its particular disability, taking into account that disability according to the Human Rights model the Professionals need further guidance on different types of support.

As a reference, support systems should ensure the availability of an adequate number of services to provide the fullest possible range of support, including communication support, support in decision-making, mobility support, personal assistance, support in living arrangements and other types of support based on the community. Ensuring the availability of a reliable, skilled and trained workforce to deliver support is a critical component of ensuring the availability and quality of support, hence the need for harmonizing professional formation regarding support to boost in a substantial manner the professionals’ abilities and skills at the same time that enabling individuals to improve the support they receive and, ultimately, their quality of life. While the existence of strong non-discrimination legal frameworks and fully accessible general environments significantly facilitate the

participation of persons with disabilities, many of them may still require support measures to be able to participate in the community on an equal basis with others.

LO: Enable service users to keep themselves and other safe

LO: Enable service users to positive risks

The professional must know specific difficulties of the individual that may influence in the type of support to be provided in order to plan the assessment and improve its quality.

3.2. Steps to determine Support Needs

3.2.1. Initial Considerations

Assessing support needs in the field of disability has been traditionally done by professionals, ranging from an initial clinical or medical point of view based on a biological model focusing on individual deficiencies and progressively moving towards a biosocial (more holistic approach) taking into consideration social aspects of the individual's life leaving aside the co-production aspect (e.g. the opinion or shared vision of the user individual needs). Acknowledging this, it is of the utmost necessity balance both visions and to determine support needs shifting into a Human Rights model. A comprehensive individualised support plan is essentially an articulation of a community's shared vision for its future growth and modern development to contribute to the promotion of social and economic inclusion, in particular of minorities and vulnerable groups, including persons with disabilities. An individualised support plan should assess the needs of the individual from different perspectives but taking always into consideration that the user perspective is the ground base for further professional intervention or support without excluding the professional knowledge accumulated by certain disciplines traditionally assessing support needs such as social workers or psychologists. This disciplines exercise their professional role knowing a wide range of administrative and bureaucratic procedures and documentation required to activate resources from the Welfare State or the Social Service System so THSUNA offers a mixture of tools to assess support needs from the user perspective and from a professional's point of view, but not mutually exclusive, in a collaborative fashion and with a co-production methodology. The aim of THSUNA is to provide quality support which can be defined as:

- Support is offered within a community-environment.
- Support from professionals aims to maximize positive interaction of the person supported with the local community (neighbours, shops, services, et al.)

- Support ought to respect personal space, privacy and property of the individual.
- Support is delivered according to individual needs and with flexible scalability.

LO: Build trusting relationship with service users

LO: Communicate effectively and openly

LO: Work in a multi-disciplinary way

LO: Develop networks and collaborate with other service providers

Assessment has to be provided following Person-Centred Planning, using Co-Production methodologies and with a flexible and tailored base. Both, the vision and expertise of professionals in contrast with the individual perspective and experience are essential to reach a satisfactory assessment. In this scenario, successfully bonding and interviewing the person is a key element to succeed.


3.2.2. Specific Instruments

THSUNA uses different tools to identify, detect and assess individual needs according to the user's own assessment and according to the professional assessment. Taking into consideration the existence of different assessment scales such as: *Basic Everyday Living Skills (BELS)*, which aims to assess the close relationship between people with psychosocial disabilities and the decay/impairment of global functioning assuming that the level of support required by the individual will also depend closely of the level of his or her functioning. *BELS* is an assessment instrument with adequate reliability properties for the purpose for which it was conceived: to assess the basic abilities for the everyday living. Another assessment scale, from a professional perspective, is the *Global Assessment of Functioning / Modified Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF/mGAF)*. The Global Assessment of Functioning assigns a clinical judgment in numerical fashion to the individual's overall functioning level. Impairments in psychological, social and occupational/school functioning are considered, but those related to physical or environmental limitations are not. The scale ranges from 0 (inadequate information) to 100 (superior functioning). Apart from these scales, proprietary scales exist to assess comprehensively the needs of people with disabilities – not specifically targeting people with psychosocial disabilities – but as a whole such as the *Housing First Model (proprietary model)*, *MyLife Scale (proprietary scale)*, *ENAR-TMG Scale (Assessment Scale of the Attention Levels required for people with Severe Psychosocial Disabilities)* and the *Outcome Star Scale/s (proprietary scale; e.g. recovery star)*.

THSUNA tools develop a framework of support assessment by using two specific tools: *THSUNA Scale* (See Annex I *HAS+THSUNA Integrated Scale*) and *THSUNA Data Gathering Tool* (See Annex II) and uses an inter-sectoral approach by using the following methodology / process.

3.2.3. Five Phases / Stages Assessment Methodology

THSUNA instruments must be used following a circular process mode developed in a continuum



A profile of the service user must be developed during all the process to function as a baseline data for a later elaboration of Individualised Support Plan which must be agreed with the supported person and the professional.

Phase / Stage 1a: Inform the individual on the THSUNA Scale and methodology. The methodology comprises the use of individualised scales and interviews with professionals and other relevant stakeholders, so the individual is aware of the whole process. In this early stage, the professionals will ask the individual to come with a mindset focused on self-reflection about the difficulties, issues, context and personal needs alongside with useful documentation to validate his needs and proceed with further support intervention. **THSUNA Data Gathering Tool** is a tool aimed at compiling basic

documentation about an individual to proceed with further support actions, it is primarily aimed at professionals delivering support but it also can be relevant as a template to share at this stage with the person for the individual to collect all the information prior to the *First Joint Assessment Work session*.

Phase / Stage 1b: Identify and select the user/s, stakeholder/s and professional/s to be involved in the assessment. Prior to further proceed, the person / final user should be asked if there's a specific person that does not want to be present during the assessment and this decision must be respected. However, the crucial role of an initial assessment should not overlook inputs from professionals, family members or other stakeholders that can have a different point of view or a piece of information whom the user might specifically hide or retain that can be useful to understand the person's context as a whole in a comprehensive manner. This initially excluded stakeholders should be included and consulted although not directly during later phases to contribute with their knowledge and experience on the context and situation of the individual. During the first meeting between the supporter and the person, the bond should consolidate and grow. Only when a trust relationship appears, the supporter can ask the person about his/her wishes, will and preferences or assess the skills of the person through THSUNA Scale. It is highly recommended to avoid any assessment during the first contact with the person.

Inform, Promote Self-Reflection & Data Gathering to:

- Guaranteeing access to information (bidirectional)
- Better Assessment efficiency (time & focus)
- Promote user involvement and co-production

Phase / Stage 2: First Joint Assessment session. Arrange a meeting where *THSUNA Scale* ought to be undertaken by the individual and complemented by professional/stakeholder observations in a positive fashion and with evidence, leaving questions unanswered if the person doesn't feel comfortable sharing personal information at this stage. The session is conducted using semi-structured interviews to extract qualitative elements about the individual's' situation. In parallel, the documentation brought by the individual is compiled using the *THSUNA Data Gathering Tool* guidelines. The collection of all types of information (economic, legal and social) required in administrative and practical terms should be the strictly necessary and relevant according to the user's demand and can vary from country to country (structure of social services, health system, etc...).

The number of interviews to complete accurately **THSUNA Scale** is not defined and is determined by the person's volition. Moreover, completing the initial assessment will require more than one visit, contacts by phone or by email to the different professionals who already support the person, so it is not contingent on the person and the professional applying **THSUNA Scale**.

THSUNA Scale is conceptualised as a flexible instrument and not conceptualised nor intended to be a finalistic resource but the starting point to develop support in a continuum since needs of individuals are constantly changing due to personal growth, experience, changes in the sociocultural context of the individual or due to unforeseen situations.

First Joint Assessment Session

- Carried out guaranteeing an equal distribution of power between all participants (Family Group Conferences, Open Dialogue Strategies, Trialogue are encouraged).
- Professionals define their respective scope and limits within its professional assignments.
- Bonding and Temporality as key elements (more than one session will be needed in complex cases).
- Create a climate of security, confidence, respect and collaboration.
- Initial Documentation Gathered.

Phase / Stage 3: Joint Professional Contrasting Session. During this third phase professionals work collaboratively to determine the tasks to be undertaken by each professional depending on its area of expertise, institutional assignment and possibilities of intervention within realistic parameters (i.e. financial situation of the individual, welfare state structure, social services resources, community services, social housing and/or direct or indirect support schemes in different areas provided by the state or by NGO's in the local territory, etc.). In this stage, *TSHUNA Data Gathering Tool* and the information compiled became crucial to determine potential options and possibilities to support the individual. In case of not having enough information or lacking documentation to further proceed, it is necessary for professionals to start the proceedings to expedite that documentation as soon as possible (e.g. national id card, census registration, disability certificate, etc..). This collaborative work intends to avoid overlapping of tasks and to determine with accuracy what services, resources or benefits the user has the Right (universal or concurrent) to enjoy.

Joint Professional Contrasting Session



- To know and list “real” options for service provision.
- To avoid professional overlapping and duplicities and to miss resources to be offered and/or available to the individual

Phase / Stage 4: Final Joint Assessment Session. This work session intends to inform the user of all the available resources, benefits, services within the Social and Health Service System whom he might have access or Right to access. Communication is a key aspect of this phase since it enables an individual to exercise choice and control over the option most suitable for his/her needs. The professional ability and skills to explain with details each and every option and its consequences is important for the final user’s informed choice. Temporality also plays a key role in this phase since the individual needs to understand comprehensively what support entails and the temporality of its provision. In this phase it is important for the professional to confront expectations of the individual since bureaucratic procedures and administrative formalities entail complex processes and timelines, often confronted with the perceived reality or expectations of the user.

Final Assessment Report: Elaboration of a report to determine the support that the person needs on an individualised basis. It is important to keep in touch with the real situation of the individual and the plausible options that every professional / stakeholder has to deliver support. The report should contain the different options available (resources, service, benefits, programmes...) to the end user. The final assessment report is the principal input for the professional supporter to design an Individualised Support Plan to be agreed with the person.

Final Joint Assessment Session & Individualised Support Plan

- To make sure the individual understands available options and chooses which option suits his/her needs within a range of options presented by the professional. The individual is able to accept, modify or reject the proposed objectives and actions. It is necessary to record the agreements that are established with the person.
- Define a list of actions to do with a concrete temporality both for the user and for the professional.
- Define a frequency and tracking channel – face to face visit, in-home visit, office visit, call, email, etc. – by consensus with the person. The individual can change his preference at any time. Detecting new needs or redefine existing ones.

Individualized Support Plan. Once the assessment phases are over, the supporter and the person will have a comprehensive idea about the needs of the person, his/her will and preferences and information about his/her wishes, goals or lifestyle. The supporter's duty is to elaborate an Individualised Support Plan with a list of issues, including the actions to be carried out for each issue according to the resources/services/programmes available in the territory. The individualised support plan includes searching sources of information; coordinate actions to enjoy support of other support services; do the necessary steps to communicate the individual's decision to the support services, social services or social network or actions to help the implementation of the plan with external supports.

Individualised Support Plan – General

The needs and actions to do in Individualised Support Plan are specified, but not limited to, these aspects, if agreed with the person and with an agreed intensity and frequency.

- Purchasing of products: personal use, hygiene, clothing, tobacco, leisure products, and food.
- Agreement on pocket money and its management, which will serve to determine the economic tool adapted to the need of the person.
- Vehicle management (taxes, insurance, fuel, repairs).
- Debt Management (negotiation of the payment of the individual's debts).
- Monthly payments (services, mortgages, loans, rents or residential facilities, day centres, deeds, supplies, etc.).
- Support for processing documentation: National ID, Health Insurance, Health Card, Passport, Disability Certificate, Benefits from the Welfare System, Certificate of Residence and other type of administrative/legal documentation.
- Management and coordination of external supports.
- Management and support if a change of centre / place of residence occurs.
- Management of bank accounts (checking account, investment funds, pension plan, etc.).
- Supervision of medical visits and pharmacology assuming the accompaniment directly or managing an external support and / or trip service, in the case of medical visits, hospital emergencies and surgical interventions, with all the actions that are derived thereafter (monitoring of the evolution of the person, information to families and / or centres, signature of informed consent, hospital monitoring, etc.).
- Supervision of personal hygiene.
- Supervision of place of residence, support and maintenance (i.e. pest control, external support cleaning, etc.).
- Promotion of leisure and cultural activities (links to centres, services, activities, etc.)

- Pet management (food, veterinarian, etc.)
- Community Support: Search, coordinate and manage the different supports of the community environment and specialized services in the different areas (health, work or occupational, leisure, social services, external services, housing, volunteering, etc...).
- Community Support: Encourage the integration of the person in the community, showing the resources of their environment and encouraging them to use them. Promoting autonomy.

Phase / Stage 5: Support Delivery & Refinement of the Support

Not to be covered by this Intellectual Output

3.3. Main Support Areas’ Needs Assessment

THSUNA instrument aims to provide an assessment of support needs for independent living in the community and as such it needs to further define the areas whether the individual need support to develop independent life. This can be assessed by inventorying life skills of and individual in a subset of items to assess the level that individuals have at a specific point in their life or when in the process of receiving support. The use of exploratory tools and scales could be done in the form of a checklist and is comprised of different categories, The categories can be divided into money, daily life habits and routines, health-care related skills, consumer awareness, food management, personal appearance and hygiene, housekeeping, housing, transportation, educational planning, job seeking skills, job maintenance skills, emergency and safety skills, knowledge of community resources, interpersonal skills, legal issues, and others.

The individual and the supporter (here, all the social and healthcare agents) together should use TSHUNA tool and methodology to answer the questions to determine the level of support required by the individual. The individuals’ level (complete dependency, partial dependency, partial autonomy and full autonomy) is determined based upon the completion of certain items specified in the checklist for each category and each question. Individuals must be able to complete or know a certain amount of items, determined by the inventory, at least partially – ideally completely over time – to at least start enjoying of support even if it is on a very basic level. *THSUNA* tool should be undertaken with the help of supporters, peers, parents, relatives, professionals or other adults involved with the individual and can help the individual “plan...on filling gaps” that exceed their knowledge on a given time, context or area.

LO: Practice co-production with service users who are ‘experts by experience’

LO: Develop networks and collaborate with other service providers



4. Key success factors to put THSUNA into practice

There are several factors influencing a good assessment. Some have a personal component, affected by cultural elements and biography of the person while other have a strong organizational component which conditions the relationship quality between the supported person and the supporter. Below you can find a list of identified factors that helps to obtain a good support.

4.1. Bonding between the supporter and the supported person

The emotional bond between the supporter and the accompanying person is one of the main factors that favour the positive change that is sought in the intervention (Escudero 2009).

The bond is a living state, which as a relationship, requires attention to continue provoking positive changes. Thus, professionals have to know the characteristics of the link. According to the model proposed by Friedlander and collaborators (2010) the link has four elements that must be taken into account:

- Adherence to the accompaniment process. The intervention has a sense for the person. She feels involved and works with the professional. He considers that the objectives and tasks of the evaluation can be discussed and that he can express his opinion.
- Security during the process. You have to get the person to perceive the context as a space where you can take risks and in which you can openly show your opinions and be sensitive. In conclusion, it is very effective that the person feels comfortable and in no case on the defensive.
- Emotional connection. The person sees the professional as someone important at a particular time in their lives. It is important that the relationship passes familiarly, although it is also advisable to avoid the treatment of "colleagues". The relationship must be based on trust, affection, interest and sentiment of relevance.
- Commitment to the objectives. It is effective to encourage the collaborative spirit and agree the objectives with the person accompanied.

LO: Treat service users with dignity and respect

LO: Build trusting relationship with service users

LO: Communicate effectively and openly

4.2. Interview as a key instrument

The support based on a good bond uses the personal interview as a fundamental tool for such purpose. The interview must possess a series of characteristics to be effective, among which the following ones stand out: Flexibility in the approach, Integral approach - in the sense of collect information about the net and specialized resources that the person has experienced,

A good practice included in this guide is a joint interview in which participate all professionals services involved in support. During the interview available resources are shown to the person in order to see the matching with his/her will and preferences. Special attention is paid also to non-verbal communication. A shared template is used by professionals in order to collect of the information it may arise.

LO: Communicate effectively and openly

4.3. Temporality and respect versus communication style

Each meeting or interview must be planned with enough time to allow the professional and the person to express their points of view. It is also important to design a program of interviews in which the first meeting serve the purpose to create a bond with the individual since professional experience shows that it will not be until the third or fourth meeting (may be more depending on the person) that a climate of trust has already been created so that the supported person "opens up" and expresses their interests and true needs.

To achieve the above, it is also very important that the professional shows respect towards the way the person expresses their interests and needs. There are persons that take decisions about their needs very quickly, without much reflection about. Other take time to think about before to say that they want to do or need and will ask you for some support before to express a clear will. And more, one person could express opinions and plans without much words, just using non-verbal communication whereas other are inexpressive and use mainly words as communication way. The supporter must accept the style of communication of the person and work together the person to catch what the person needs and will.

5. References

- Beresford, P. (2013.) From 'other' to involved: user involvement in research: an emerging paradigm, *Nordic Social Work Research*, 3:2, 139-148, DOI: 10.1080/2156857X.2013.835138
- Brown, Molly, "Effectiveness of Housing First for Non-chronically Homeless Individuals Who Are High Utilizers of Inpatient Psychiatric Treatment" (2012). College of Science and Health Theses and Dissertations. Paper 29. http://via.library.depaul.edu/csh_etd/29 (02.01.2020).
- Charles, C., Gafni, A. and Whelan, T. (1997), "Shared decision-making in the medical encounter: what does it mean? (Or it takes at least two to tango)", *Social Science Medicine*, Vol. 44 No. 5, pp. 681-92.
- Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, *General Comment No 1: Article 12: Equal Recognition Before the Law*, 11th session, UN Doc CRPD/C/GC/1 (19 May 2014) para 42.
- Cummins, R. A. (1996). Quality of life and disability. En P.O'Brien y R. Murray (Eds.), *Human services: towards partnership and support* (pp. 255-268). Auckland, Nueva Zelanda: Dunmore.
- Cummins, R. A. (1997a). Assessing quality of life. En I. Brown (Ed.), *Quality of life for people with disabilities* (2ª ed.) (pp. 116-150). Cheltenham, Reino Unido: Stanley Thornes.
- Cummins, R. A. (1997b). Self-rated quality of life scales for people with an intellectual disability: a review. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 10, 199- 216.
- Cummins, R.A. (2005) Moving from the quality of life concept to a theory. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 49, 699-706. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2005.00738.x
- Dennis, R. E., Willians, W. M., Giangreco, M. F. y Cloninger, C. (1994). Calidad de vida como contexto para la planificación y evaluación de servicios para personas con discapacidad. *Siglo Cero*, 25, 5-16.
- Devandas, C. (2018). Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Rights of persons with disabilities (theme: legal capacity reform and supported decision-making). [A/HRC/37/56](https://www.unhcr.org/refugees/4).
- Dhanda, Amita, 'Conversations between the Proponents of the New Paradigm of Legal Capacity' (2017) 13(1) *International Journal of Law in Context* 87-95.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction with Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49, 71-75.
- Driscoll CO, Leff J. The Taps Project. 8: Design of the research study on the long-stay patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1993; 162(Supl 19): 18-24

Durand, M.A., Carpenter, L., Dolan, H., Bravo, P., Mann, M., Bunn, F. and Elwyn, G. (2014), "Do interventions designed to support shared decision-making reduce health inequalities? A systematic review and meta-analysis", *PLoS One*, Vol. 9 No. 4, p. e94670, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094670.

Evans, Elizabeth; Howlett, Sophie; Kremser, Thea; Simpson, Jim; Kayess, Rosemary and Trollor, Julian N, 'Service Development for Intellectual Disability Mental Health: A Human Rights Approach' (2012) 56(11) *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research* 1098-1109.

Felce, D. y Emerson, E. (2001). Living with support in a home in the community: Predictors of behavioral development and household and community activity. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research*, 34, 23-41. doi: 10.1002/mrdd.1011

Gooding, Piers, 'Supported Decision-Making: A Rights-Based Disability Concept and Its Implications for Mental Health Law' (2013) 20(3) *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 431-451.

Gould, N. (2016). *Mental Health Social Work In Context: second edition*. (Second ed.) Abingdon, Oxon.: Routledge.

Grupo Andaluz de Investigación en Salud Mental. Validación y adaptación al castellano EVERYDAY LIVING SCHEDULE (BELS) Team for the Assessment of Psychiatric Services (TAPS) 1989.: Grupo Andaluz de Investigación en Salud Mental. 2000.

Heal, L. W. y Chadsey-Rusch J. (1985). The Lifestyle Satisfaction Scale (LSS): assessing individuals' satisfaction with residence, community setting, and associated services. *Applied Research in Mental Retardation*, 6, 475-90.

Health Service Executive (2018). Co-Production in Practice Guidance Document: Supporting the Implementation of 'A National Framework for Recovery in Mental Health 2018-2020'

Heather Castillo, Shulamit Ramon, "'Work with me": service users' perspectives on shared decision making in mental health", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22(3):166-178, July 2017.

Jiménez J, Torres F, Laviana M, Luna J, Trieman N, Richard C. Evaluación del funcionamiento de la vida diaria en personas con trastorno mental de larga evolución. Adaptación y fiabilidad de la versión española del "Basic Everyday Living Skills" (BELS). *Actas Esp Psiquiatri*. 2000; 28(5): 284-288.

Kontos N, Querques J, Freudenreich O. Capable of more: some underemphasized aspects of capacity assessment. *Psychosomatics*. 2015 May-Jun;56(3) 217-226. doi:10.1016/j.psym.2014.11.004

Pūras, Dainius, *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Right of Everyone to the Enjoyment of the Highest Attainable Standard of Physical and Mental Health*, UN Doc A/HRC/35/21 (28 March 2017).

Russo, Jasna and Rose, Diana, ““But What If Nobody’s Going to Sit down and Have a Real Conversation with You?” Service User/Survivor Perspectives on Human Rights’ (2013) 12(4) *Journal of Public Mental Health* 184-192.

Shulamit Ramon, YaaraZisman-Ilani, and Emma Kaminskiy, ‘Shared decision making in mental health’, Guest Editorial, Special Issue, *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 (3): 149-151, September 2017.

Tanenbaum, Sandra J, ‘Consumer-Operated Service Organizations: Organizational Characteristics, Community Relationships, and the Potential for Citizenship’ (2012) 48(4) *Community Mental Health Journal* 397-406.

Think Local Act Personal (2011) Making it real: Marking progress towards personalised, community-based support, London: TLAP.

World Health Organization. Community Participation in Local Health and Sustainable Development: Approaches and Techniques. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2002. European Sustainable Development and Health Series, 4.

6. Annexes

7. ANNEX I – THSUNA Integrated Scale - To be fulfilled always with the user and the professional

THSUNA – TOPHOUSE SUPPORT NEEDS ASSESSMENT

31. I’m going to read you another list. Please, answer the questions honestly and thinking in your current situation (read the list out loud and circle the answer that suit your situation).

Budgeting money - Financial

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Do you know how much you earn as income weekly/monthly? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you consider that you administer well financially? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ With your current financial situation, do you get to the end of the month without issues? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you have savings? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>▶ Do you borrow money? (Neighbours, friends, bank) Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>▶ Do you understand the financial information that you get or receive? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Shopping



	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Do you know how much things are worth money-wise? Provide examples in comments Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you do the basic shopping in the same place every time? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you shop with a shopping list? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you consider that you manage your money to make ends meet at the end of the month with all your basic needs covered? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Housekeeping

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Are you able to accept and respect rules and norms established when sharing a household? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you do your household chores correctly? (Bedding, order and organization, etc.) Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you do clean the house on a regular basis? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you know how to do basic maintenance tasks on your house? (Purge the radiators, remove pressure from the boiler, fixing the sink, unfreezing a</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

refrigerator, changing a light bulb, etc.)

Comments:

- ▶ In case of experiencing any problem at or with your home, do you know to who and how to ask for help?

Comments:

Cooking

- | | YES | NO | WITH SUPPORT |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ▶ Do you know how to use a microwave / oven / stove / other kitchen appliances? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments:

- ▶ Do you cook for yourself on a daily basis?

Comments:

- ▶ Do you buy ready-to-eat meals? Specify how many meals a week

Comments:

Laundry

- | | YES | NO | WITH SUPPORT |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ▶ Do you know how to use the washing machine? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments:

- ▶ Do you know how to dry your clothes properly?

Comments:

Family

- | | YES | NO | WITH SUPPORT |
|--|-----|----|--------------|
| | | | |

▶ Do you maintain contact with your family or relatives?

Comments:

▶ Do you need help to see them or talk to them?

Comments:

▶ Would you like to re-connect with them?

Comments:

▶ Do you want to inform your family about your situation?

Comments:

▶ In case of family conflict, do you know how to solve it or do you want to solve it?

Comments:

Making friends – Getting along with people

YES NO WITH SUPPORT

▶ Are you satisfied with your network of social relationships?

Comments:

▶ Do you want to keep them or improve the situation?

Comments:

▶ Do you participate in activities in the community where you live?

Comments:

▶ Do you want to keep them or improve the situation?

Comments:

▶ Do you often encounter problems when interacting with other individuals (neighbours, colleagues, friends, et al.)?

Comments:

▶ If yes: can you solve them?

Comments:

Health

YES

NO

**WITH
SUPPORT**

▶ Do you attend to regularly to the doctor or follow-up visits?

Comments:

▶ Do you know when you have visits?

Comments:

▶ Do you get the medication correctly prescribed? Do you administer it as prescribed?

Comments:

▶ Do you know how to prepare the medication prescribed?

Comments:

▶ Do you know how to schedule a doctor appointment?

Comments:

▶ In case of a medical urgent situation, can you ask someone for help? Specify who

Comments:

Hygiene

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Do you shower frequently? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you change your clothes frequently? Specify in the comments section Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you spend time doing personal care activities (nails / hair / shaving / hair removal)? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Mobility - Transport

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Do you have a personal vehicle? (bike, car, motorbike, other) Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<p>▶ Do you know how to use public transport? (metro, train, bus, taxi) Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>▶ Do you experience problems following map directions or going to places you don't know? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Work & Employment

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
<p>▶ Do you work? Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

▶ Do you want to work?

Comments:

▶ Have you experienced difficulties in your work last year (punctuality, etc.?)

Comments:

▶ Do you think you could find a job on your own?

Comments:

▶ Do you want to increase your employability or work-related abilities? In which capacity?

Comments:

Formation & Education

YES **NO** **WITH SUPPORT**

▶ Do you want to participate in courses / workshops / educational tasks?

Comments:

▶ Do you know the channels to look for specific training courses and/or formation?

Comments:

▶ If you don't want to participate in formation activities: Do you know the purpose/usefulness of the formation activities? Explain in the comments section

Comments:

Legal & Administrative

YES **NO** **WITH SUPPORT**

▶ Do you know how to interpret legal documentation that

affects you or is addressed to you?

Comments:

▶ Do you understand legal procedures in your country?

Comments:

▶ Do you understand administrative procedures in your country?

Comments:

▶ Do you understand and do by yourself the administrative/social benefits/bureaucratic procedures related to housing or getting support?

Comments:

Leisure & Culture

	YES	NO	WITH SUPPORT
▶ Are you motivated to participate in leisure/cultural/sport and/or other recreation activities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

▶ Do you know the channels to look for these activities?

Comments:

Anything else (please specify)

Comments:

32. Please, from the following list, select the areas or tasks where you would like to receive support (read the list out loud and circle the amount of help).

Would you like support with

	NO SUPPORT	MEDIUM SUPPORT	INTENSE SUPPORT
Budgeting money - Financial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shopping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housekeeping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cooking	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Laundry	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Family	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Making friends & Social relations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hygiene	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mobility & Transport	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Work & Employment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formation & Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Legal & Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leisure & Culture	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Anything else (please Specify)			

34. And finally, I'm going to read you another list. Please tell me if you have been in contact with those services within the last five years. Please specify the professional. (Read the list out loud and write the contact details).

- Social Services (Basic, Specialised)
- Primary Health Centre:
- Mental Health Centre:
- Residential facility
- Hospital / Clinic:
- Sociosanitary Centre:
- Other Professional(s) / Service(s):



We're done. Do you have any questions you would like to ask me, or any comments you would like to make that would plan housing and support options in this area? (If so record below)

=====

GO TO SUMMARY 3 TO SUMMARISE SUPPORT NEEDS

=====

Step 3: Summary of housing and support needs and preferences

The following summary sheet allows for the key elements of the applicant's support needs and preferences to be clearly communicated. It's important to remember that the allocations officer or support worker who was present when the survey was carried out may not be involved in making the allocation, so it is vitally important that the applicant's needs and preferences are clearly understood.

Summary 3: Support Needs

The first person/place you contact for help is _____.

When you need to, you also make contact with _____

_____. In general you are (very / somewhat dis/satisfied) with the help you receive. You receive _____

support(s) from _____ services in your home and you are (very / somewhat dis/satisfied) with this.

You (do / do not) think that the money you receive is enough to live on. Your particular difficulties are; medical, physical etc. _____

_____. You have (High /

Medium / Low) urgency in relation to your housing and support needs. You consider yourself to be particularly at risk of homelessness/poverty/abuse/deteriorating mental and /or physical health/harm to self/others.

You think the most important support(s) and service(s) that you would need to help you to live in your preferred housing is / are _____

_____. In addition, you



think you would also need help with _____


Your preferred supports from the _____ service are _____



ANNEX II - THSUNA Data Gathering Tool

DOCUMENTATION NEEDED/REQUIRED TO ASSUME THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT		
Name:		
Date:		
SOCIAL DOCUMENTATION		
Document	Status (Received/Pending)	Observations
ID Card		
Passport / Residence Visa		
Disability Certificate		
Health Card		
Social Security Number		
Medical Report		
Pharmacological treatment		
Residential / Facility Contract		
Social Benefits Resolution		
FINANCIAL DOCUMENTATION		
Bank account details (Extracts, others,...)		
Social Benefits Resolution (Economical)		
Labour/Work Contract		
Payslip		
Insurance Contracts (car, home, personal)		
Other Contracts (Mobile Phone, services,..)		
Rental Contract		
Property Scriptures/deeds		
Inheritance Documentation		
Will		
LEGAL DOCUMENTATION		

Criminal Causes		
Civil Causes		
Legal Documentation		
OTHER DOCUMENTATION		



The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Copyright © TOPHOUSE Partnership 2019

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system without the prior permission of the copyright.